SFA HAS NOT RECV’D PREVIOUS NSLPE AWARD 
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2022 EQUIPMENT ASSISTANCE GRANT APPLICATION

**EVALUATION SCORING TOOL**

**SFA\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

SITE NAME or ADDRESS\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

TOTAL POINTS POSSIBLE: 46 POINTS

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **SITE SCORING (one score sheet per site)** | | |
| **CRITERIA** | **POINTS POSSIBLE** | **POINTS AWARDED** |
| **Percentage of students eligible for free and reduced-priced meals:**  **(See Page 1 of the Grant Application)** | **16 points possible** |  |
| 50 – 100% | **11 to 16** |  |
| 26 – 49% | **6 to 10** |  |
| 0 – 25% | **0 to 5** |  |
| **Description of site need:**  **(See Page 1-3 of the Grant Application)** | **15 points possible** |  |
| Strong case | **11 to 15** |  |
| Average case | **6 to 10** |  |
| Weak case | **0 to 5** |  |
| **Number or percentage of students impacted at site level:**  **(See Page 1 of the Grant Application )** | **15 points possible** |  |
| At least 300 students for sites that anticipate having at least 50% F/RP– eligible students benefit to from the equipment. | **11 to 15** |  |
| At least 200 students for sites that anticipate having at least 50% F/RP– eligible students to benefit from the equipment. | **6 to 10** |  |
| Less than 100 students for sites that anticipate having at least 50% F/RP– eligible students to benefit from the equipment. | **0 to 5** |  |
| **TOTAL SCORE FOR SITE (MAX. 46 POINTS)** | |  |

2022 EQUIPMENT ASSISTANCE GRANT EVALUATION SCORING TOOL

**TOTAL POINTS POSSIBLE: 54 POINTS**

Sections in Red below refer to the site on the application form

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | | **EQUIPMENT SCORING** | | | | | | | |
| **Equipment** | | | **Age/**  **Condition/**  **Lack of Equipment (Sec. 5-D)**  **0-9 points** | | **Strategies for Adopting**  **LUNCHROOM CHANGES to appeal to Students**  **(Sec. 5-G)**  **0-9 points** | **Other Federal, State/Local equipment funding Not Available**  **(Sec. 5-H)**  **0-9 points** | **Improves the Quality and/or Nutrition of NSLP/SBP Meals**  **(Sec. 6-I & 7-J B)**  **0-9 points** | **Expands/Increases Participation**  **in NSLP/SBP Meals**  **Programs**    **(Sec. 7—J A)**  **0-9 points** | **Equipment is needed for the meal service at a school that was severely impacted by the epidemic and the state’s economic downturn.**  **(Sec. 7-K)**  **0-9 points** | **Total Score** |
| 1. | | |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | | |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. | | |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | | |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Guide to scoring how well a case was made by SFA for each Criteria:**  **0-3 points for Not At All to Poor**  **4-5 points for Fair**  **6 or more points for Good to Excellent** | | | | | | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Equipment** | **Page 1 Score**  **Max. 54 points**  **Max. 46 points**  **Page 1** | **Page 2 Score**  **Max. 100 points**  **Page 2** | **TOTAL SCORE** |  | **FOR HCNP USE ONLY** |  |
| 1. |  |  |  |  | **SCHOOL FOOD AUTHORITY NAME:** | **SITE NAME:** |
| 2. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. |  |  |  |  | **REVIEWED BY:** | **DATE:** |