SCHOOL FOOD AUTHORITY ON-SITE REVIEW CHECKLIST

ASSESSMENT OF THE MEAL COUNTING AND CLAIMING SYSTEM AND READILY OBSERVABLE GENERAL AREAS FOR THE SBP AND NSLP

According to 7 CFR 210.8(a)(1) and 7 CFR 220.11(d)(1), every school year, prior to February 1, each School Food Authority (SFA) with more than one school (as defined by 7 CFR Part 210.2 to include Residential Child Care Institutions (RCCIs)) must perform no less than one on-site review of the meal counting and claiming system and the readily observable general areas of review identified under 7 CFR 210.18(h) in each school operating the National School Lunch Program (NSLP) and 50% of schools operating the School Breakfast Program (SBP) under its jurisdiction. **REVIEW ALL LOCATIONS WHERE MEALS ARE SERVED. Complete a separate form for each meal clerk at each point of service location.**

Each on-site review must ensure the school's claim is based on the counting and claiming system, as implemented, and yields the actual number of reimbursable free, reduced price, and paid meals, respectively, served for each day of operation.

If the review discloses problems with a school's meal counting and claiming procedures or general review areas, the SFA must ensure that the school implements corrective action, and within 45 days of the review, conduct a follow-up on-site review to determine that the corrective action resolved the problems.

Schoo	l Nam	e:	v Date:				
SFA I	Review	/er:	One: ☐ Breakfast	□ Lunch			
ON-S	ITE R	EVIEW	,				
The fo	llowin	g questi	ons are re	ecommen	ded at a minimum to complete the on-site review	requirement:	
YES	NO		MEA	L COUN	TTING AND CLAIMING SYSTEM	COMMENTS	
		1. Is		od used fo			
		wi	th the app	proved po	oint of service requirement? (Meal counts must		
		be	taken at	the location	on(s) where complete meals are served to		
			ildren.)				
					e meal count used to determine the school's		
				imbursen			
					ible for monitoring and counting meals		
					reimbursable meals?		
					y implementing policies for handling the		
		following (as applicable): Yes No N/A					
					Incomplete meals?		
				П	Second meals?		
					Lost, stolen, misused, forgotten or destroyed		
					tickets, tokens, IDs, etc.?		
					Visiting student meals?		
					Adult and non-student meals (and identifying		
					program vs non-program)?		
					A la carte?		
					Student worker meals?		
					Field Trips?		
					Charged and/or prepaid meals?		
					Offer vs Serve?		
					Unpaid meal charges		
					identifying non-reimbursable meals (i.e., not		
		meeting meal pattern requirements, seconds, adult meals, etc.) and					
					from reimbursable meals?		
		6. Is someone trained as a backup for the monitor and the meal					
		counter?					
	1	l				1	

				MC-7		
YES	NO	MEAL COUNT	COMMENTS			
			or meal counting and claiming when the			
		primary counting and c				
		know when and how to				
			tly totaled and recorded?			
		9. If claims are aggregate consolidated?				
		10. Are internal controls (e				
		that daily counts do not exceed the number of students eligible or in				
		attendance and that an	accurate claim is made?			
		Record today's meal counts	by category and compare to the total			
		number of students eligible				
		Number of Students	Today's Meal Counts by Category			
		Approved by Category				
		Free:	Free:			
		Reduced Price:	Reduced Price:			
		Paid:	Paid			
		11. Does the system preven	nt overt identification of children receiving			
		free or reduced price m	eals?			
		12. According to 7 CFR 21	0.8(a)(3)/7 CFR 220.11(d), are edit checks			
		completed and docume	nted which compare the daily counts of free,			
			meals against the product of the number of			
		children currently eligi	ble for free, reduced price, and paid meals,			
			ttendance factor (and any discrepancies			
		accounted for)?				
	NOT	E: The following question i	s for all SFAs <u>except</u> for SFAs on Provision 2 RCCIs with only residential children	2 or 3 in non-base years, CEP, or		
П	П	13 Is a current eligibility l				
Ш	13. Is a current eligibility list kept up-to-date and used by the meal counting system to provide an accurate daily count of the					
	reimbursable meals by category (free, reduced price, paid)?					
CORI	RECT	IVE ACTION PLAN (for a	ny "NO" answers above)			
SPEC	IFY D	OATE ALL CORRECTIVE	ACTION(S) WILL BE IMPLEMENTED:			

BY WHOM: _ The SFA must conduct a follow up on-site review within 45 days to determine that corrective action resolved the problem. This review has been discussed with the following (including the meal clerk that was reviewed): School Representative Signature Title Date Print Name Title School Representative Signature Print Name Date SFA Reviewer Print Name Title Date

The following should be completed by the SFA Program Director/SFA Supervisor/Cafeteria Manager:

YES	NO	REA	DILY	OBSERVABLE GENERAL AREAS (SFA Responses)	COMMENTS
			ere an		
			anager	ment?	
		YES	NO		
				Maintenance of the Nonprofit School Food Service	
				Account? (7 CFR 210.2, 210.14, 210.19(a), 210.21)	
				Paid Lunch Equity? (7 CFR 210.14(e))	
				Revenue from Nonprogram Foods? (7 CFR 210.14(f))	
				Indirect Costs? (2 CFR Part 200 and 7 CFR 210.14(g))	
		2. W	ere an	y issues readily observed in other general areas?	
		YES	NO		
				Free and Reduced Price Process – including verification,	
				notification, and other procedures (7 CFR Part 245)	
				Civil Rights (7 CFR 210.23(b))	
				Reporting and Recordkeeping (7 CFR Parts 210, 220, and	
				245)	
				Food Safety (7 CFR 210.13)	
				Competitive Food Service (7 CFR 210.11 and 220.12)	
				Water (7 CFR 210.10(a)(1)(i) and 220.8(a)(1))	
				Professional Standards (7 CFR 210.30)	
				SBP and SFSP Outreach (7 CFR 210.12(d))	
				Local School Wellness Policies (7 CFR 210.30)	
				Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program, if applicable	
				Other	
					<u> </u>

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN (for any "YES" answers above):

SPECIFY DATE ALL CORE	RECTIVE ACTION(S) W	ILL BE IMPLEMENTED:		
BY WHOM:				
The SFA must conduct a follo problem.	w up on-site review withi	n 45 days to determine that	corrective action resolved the	;
This review has been discussed	with the following:			
School Representative Signature	Print Name	Title	Date	-
School Representative Signature	Print Name	Title	Date	
School Representative Signature	Print Name	Title	Date	
SFA Reviewer	Print Name	Title	Date	
6/2019	This institution is an equal opportunity provider.			

FOLLOW-UP VISIT (must be	e conducted within 45 days	if corrective action was requi	red):
Date(s) of Follow-Up:			
Observations of corrective action			
This review has been discussed	with the following:		
School Representative Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
School Representative Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
School Representative Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
SFA Reviewer	Print Name	Title	Date