EQUIPMENT ASSISTANCE GRANT APPLICATION

**(Attach.4) EVALUATION SCORING TOOL**

HAS NOT RECV’D PREVIOUS NSLPE FUNDS 

**SFA\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

SITE NAME or ADDRESS\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

TOTAL POINTS POSSIBLE: 45 POINTS

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **SITE SCORING (one score sheet per site)** | | |
| **CRITERIA** | **POINTS POSSIBLE** | **POINTS AWARDED** |
| **Percentage of students eligible for free and reduced-priced meals:**  **(See Page 1 of the Grant Application)** | **15 points possible** |  |
| 50 – 100% | **11 to 15** |  |
| 26 – 49% | **6 to 10** |  |
| 0 – 25% | **0 to 5** |  |
| **Description of site need:**  **(See Page 1-3 of the Grant Application)** | **15 points possible** |  |
| Strong case | **11 to 15** |  |
| Average case | **6 to 10** |  |
| Weak case | **0 to 5** |  |
| **Number or percentage of students impacted at site level:**  **(See Page 1 of the Grant Application )** | **15 points possible** |  |
| At least 300 students for sites that anticipate having at least 50% F/RP– eligible students benefit from the equipment. | **11 to 15** |  |
| At least 200 students for sites that anticipate having at least 50% F/RP– eligible students benefit from the equipment. | **6 to 10** |  |
| Less than 100 students for sites that anticipate having at least 50% F/RP– eligible students benefit from the equipment. | **0 to 5** |  |
| **TOTAL SCORE FOR SITE (MAX. 45 POINTS)** | |  |

2018 EQUIPMENT ASSISTANCE GRANT APPLICATION SCORING CRITERIA

**TOTAL POINTS POSSIBLE: 55 POINTS**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | | **EQUIPMENT SCORING** | | | | | | | |
| **Equipment** | | | **Improves Nutrition and Quality of Meals\* (Sec. 6 & 7)**  **0-8 points** | | **Improves Food Safety**  **(Sec. 6 & 7)**  **0-8 points** | **Improves Energy**  **Efficiency**  **(Sec. 6 & 7)**  **0-8 points** | **Improves or Expands Participation**  **(Sec. 6 & 7)**  **0-8 points** | **Improves serving more LOCAL FOODS; FRESH FRUITS/ VEGETABLES**  **(Sec. 6 & 7)**  **0-8 points** | **Age/Condition/Lack of Equipment (Sec. 5-D & H) State/local equipment funding Not Available**  **0-8 points** | **Strategies for Adopting**  **LUNCHROOM CHANGES to appeal to Students**  **(Sec. 5-G)**  **0-7 points** | **Total Score** |
| 1. | | |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | | |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. | | |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | | |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **\***For theImproves Nutrition and Meal Quality criteria, any points for serving more Local Foods/Fresh Fruits &Vegetables should be scored in its own column only  **Guide to scoring how well a case was made to fulfill each Criteria:**  **0-3 points for Not At All to Poor**  **4-5 points for Fair**  **6 or more points for Good to Excellent** | | | | | | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Equipment** | **Page 1 Score**  Max. 55 points  Max. 45 points  **Page 1** | **Page 2 Score**  **Page 2** | **TOTAL SCORE**  **Max. 100 points** |  | **FOR HCNP USE ONLY** |  |
| 1. |  |  |  |  | **SCHOOL FOOD AUTHORITY NAME:** | **SITE NAME:** |
| 2. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. |  |  |  |  | **REVIEWED BY:** | **DATE:** |