EQUIPMENT ASSISTANCE GRANT APPLICATION

 **(Attach.4) EVALUATION SCORING TOOL**

 HAS NOT REC’D PREVIOUS NSLPE FUNDS 

**SFA\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

 SITE NAME or ADDRESS\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

TOTAL POINTS POSSIBLE: 45 POINTS

|  |
| --- |
|  **SITE SCORING (one score sheet per site)**  |
| **CRITERIA** | **POINTS POSSIBLE** | **POINTS AWARDED** |
| **Percentage of students eligible for free and reduced-priced meals:****(See Page 1 of the Grant Application)** | **15 points possible** |  |
| 50 – 100% | **11 to 15** |  |
| 26 – 49% | **6 to 10** |  |
| 0 – 25% | **0 to 5** |  |
| **Description of site need:****(See Page 3 of the Grant Application)** | **15 points possible** |  |
| Strong case | **11 to 15** |  |
| Average case | **6 to 10** |  |
| Weak case | **0 to 5** |  |
| **Number or percentage of students impacted at site level:****(See Page 1 of the Grant Application )** | **15 points possible** |  |
| At least 300 students for sites that anticipate having at least 50% F/RP– eligible students benefit from the equipment. | **11 to 15** |  |
| At least 200 students for sites that anticipate having at least 50% F/RP– eligible students benefit from the equipment. | **6 to 10** |  |
| Less than 100 students for sites that anticipate having at least 50% F/RP– eligible students benefit from the equipment. | **0 to 5** |  |
| **TOTAL SCORE FOR SITE (MAX. 45 POINTS)** |  |

2017 EQUIPMENT ASSISTANCE GRANT APPLICATION SCORING CRITERIA

**TOTAL POINTS POSSIBLE: 55 POINTS**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | **EQUIPMENT SCORING\* (section 4 of the grant application)** |
| **Equipment** | **Contributes to Improved Meal Quality\*** **11 points** | **Contributes to Food Safety****8 points** | **Contributes to Energy****Efficiency****8 points** | **Expands and/or Increases Participation****8 points** | **Serving more LOCAL FOODS; and/or more FRESH FRUITS/VEGETABLES****8 points** | **Promotes Smarter Lunch-room Strategies (Sec. 5-G)****6 points** | **Age/Condition of Equipment (Sec. 5-D) State/local equipment funding Not Available****6 points**  | **Total Score** |
| 1. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**\*** Improved Meal Quality can score up to 11 points (Points for more Local Foods/Fresh Fruits &Vegetables should be scored in its own column only)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Equipment** | **Page 1 Score** Max. 55 pointsMax. 45 points**Page 1** | **Page 2 Score****Page 2** |  **TOTAL SCORE** **Max. 100 points** |  | **FOR HCNP USE ONLY** |  |
| 1. |  |  |  |  | **SCHOOL FOOD AUTHORITY NAME:** | **SITE NAME:** |
| 2.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.  |  |  |  |  | **REVIEWED BY:** |  **DATE:** |